# OPHIOLITE INHERITANCE IN THE PO PLAIN SEDIMENTS: INSIGHTS ON HEAVY METALS DISTRIBUTION AND RISK ASSESSMENT

# Gianluca Bianchini\*,<sup>™</sup>, Dario Di Giuseppe\*, Claudio Natali\*,° and Luigi Beccaluva\*

- \* Dipartimento di Fisica e Scienze della Terra, Università di Ferrara, Italia.
- ° CNR Istituto di Geoscienze e Georisorse, Sezione di Pisa, Italia.

Corresponding author: e-mail: bncglc@unife.it

**Keywords:** ophiolite components, alluvial sediments, heavy metals, geochemical background, element speciation and mobility. Po Plain, Italy.

### ABSTRACT

High heavy metals backgrounds, in particular chromium and nickel, are renowned in soils and sediments of the Po Plain. New XRF data, synthetized in geo-referenced geochemical maps, emphasize that the anomalously high Cr-Ni backgrounds are related to the fine alluvial sediments of the Po River. A comparison with the regolith composition of ophiolite rocks cropping out within the Po River basin suggests that the observed geochemical features reflect sedimentary components deriving from the weathering of femic and ultrafemic rocks. The elevated Cr-Ni backgrounds are therefore a geogenic character of the Po River alluvial sediments, unrelated to anthropogenic contamination. Electron microprobe investigation focused on femic minerals highlights that nickel is mainly sequestrated in serpentine (up to 0.4 wt%) whereas chromium is mainly hosted in chlorite (up to 2.5 wt%). Extraction tests, in which leachates have been analysed by ICP-MS, reveal that the mobility of chromium is limited; coherently the natural water of the area usually display concentration lower than the national drinking standards. Unfortunately, this element is considered toxic and dangerous even at extremely low concentration if oxidized in the hexavalent form that is prevalent in supergene conditions, thus posing serious environmental concerns. Nickel, on the other hand, displays a higher mobility, possibly due to the metastable behaviour of serpentine. Coherently the Cr/Ni is always higher than one in the investigated sediments and soils, whereas is always lower than one in both the natural waters and agricultural products of the area. Therefore, the high nickel concentration represents further geochemical risk and the relative content has to be monitored in the local agricultural crops. For the same reasons, aquaculture activities such as mussels and clam farms developed in the lagoons widespread around the delta of Po River are also potentially exposed to nickel bio-accumulation and the related products have to be carefully monitored.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The weathering of femic and ultrafemic lithologies included in ophiolite associations usually generate sedimentary deposits and soils anomalously enriched in heavy metals such as nickel (Ni), Cobalt (Co), Chromium (Cr), Vanadium (V). Similar weathering products are significantly represented in the hydrological basin of the Po River, because Tethyan ophiolite complexes crop out on the bordering Alpine and Apennine orogenic chains (Garzanti et al., 1998; Amorosi, 2012). This in turn explains the presence of ophiolite-inherited components within the sediments of the Po River, currently cropping out in the Po Plain.

In this paper, we implement the studies reported by Bianchini et al. (2002; 2012) investigating a new sample population collected close to the town of Ferrara, including a wider variety of sedimentary facies. Samples have been characterized with 112 new XRF (X-ray fluorescence) major and trace element analyses and with 22 aqua regia extraction tests in which the heavy metal contents of leachates have been analysed by ICP-MS. We also report in situ electron microprobe analyses (EMPA) of distinct minerals included in these sediments in order to understand in which mineral phases the heavy metals are concentrated.

The chemical-mineralogical characterization of these alluvial terrains and their regional variability are essential in order to understand the origin (natural vs. anthropogenic) of potentially harmful elements. The purpose is to define and monitor the local heavy metal backgrounds, as well as to understand in which grain-size/mineralogical fraction they are concentrated. The mobility of distinct heavy metals is also discussed to evaluate if the potentially toxic elements are mobile, and thus bio-accessible and transferable in the food chain causing harmful health problems.

#### GEOMORPHOLOGY AND LITHOLOGICAL OUTLINES

The soils of the Po Plain consist of alluvial deposits, characterized by a limited profile development, in which the lack of soil maturity is related to young depositional age (Holocene), fluvial reworking and extensive agricultural activities (ploughing).

The geochemistry of sediments in alluvial areas records the complex tectonic, climatic and hydrological processes which occur within a river basin (Pennisi et al., 2009). The varying contributions of confluents which drain geologically different sub-basins, lead to sedimentary deposits with different geochemical signatures, reflecting the geochemistry of the parent rocks and the weathering mechanisms. Moreover, in urbanized areas, anthropogenic contributions can overprint the pristine (geogenic) geochemical signatures of the alluvial sediments. In particular, this study investigates the easternmost part of the Po River plain in Northern Italy, i.e., a sedimentary basin bordered by the Alps and the Apennines, which hosts about 30-40% of the Italian population and most of the Nation's industrial and agricultural activities (Fig. 1).

In the studied area, the cropping out sedimentary facies

(and the related soils) reflect climatic changes and human impacts that deeply modified the configuration of the local drainage system, which is represented by the migrating branches of the Po River (Amorosi et al., 2002; Bianchini et al., 2002; 2012; Stefani and Vincenzi, 2005; Simeoni and Corbau, 2009; Garzanti et al., 2011; Amorosi, 2012). In the terminal part of the basin the delta environment is characterized by high lateral mobility of the active channel belts, with recurrent avulsion and channel bifurcation, which redistribute the water and sediment fluxes throughout the system. This dynamic scenario permitted, in historical times, the development of fens and swamps (probably developed over the period of a few decades) sometimes characterized by peat deposition.

In the same sector of the plain sediments of Apennine provenance transported in historical times by Reno River are also represented, giving further complexity to the geomorphological evolution of the plain, in turn reflected in the sediment stratigraphy.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study implements the paper recently published by Bianchini et al. (2012), which focused on agricultural terrains collected in the vicinity of the villages "Vigarano Mainarda" and "Vigarano Pieve", sampling a neighbouring sector of the Po River plain closer to the town of Ferrara (Fig. 1). The identification of sampling sites was based on the geological-geomorphological map of the area (Geological Map of the Emilia-Romagna Plain, 1:250,000 scale, 1999) in which the different alluvial facies of the Po and Reno Rivers were identified. The rationale behind the site selection was to consider all the different lithological-geomorphological units cropping out in the area, sampling a wider variety of sedimentary facies, also including deltaic deposits.

The sampling was carried out with an Edelman auger (Eijkelkamp) geo-referencing the site by a portable global positioning system (GPS), to locate the points and to set up future field surveys. Taking into consideration that the depth of tillage involving soil digging, stirring, and overturning is usually ca 50 cm, in each selected site, according to the procedure delineated by Facchinelli et al. (2001) and Ungaro et al. (2008), two samples were collected: one representative of the plough horizon (just beneath the roots zone, at a depth of 20-30 cm) and the other representative of the underlying undisturbed layer (at a depth of 100-120 cm). The comparison allows the evaluation of the magnitude of the possible Top Enrichment Factor (TEF) induced by anthropogenic contributions. The samples were air-dried and divided into distinct aliquots for grain-size and chemical/mineralogical investigations. The grain size investigation was obtained, following the notional classification of Wentworth as described by Salemi et al. (2010), by sieving the sandy fraction from the fine (< 63  $\mu$ m) one, and then using wet gravitational separation in deionized water to divide the clay from the silt fraction. Stokes' law was applied to calculate the settling time for the silt fraction in order to siphon out the resulting supernatant containing the clay particles.

Major (expressed as wt%) and trace elements (Ni, Co, Cr, V, Sc, Pb, Zn, and Cu, expressed as ppm) were analysed by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) on powder pellets, using a wavelength-dispersive automated ARL Advant'X spectrometer at the Department of Earth Sciences of the University of Ferrara. Accuracy and precision are based on systematic reanalyses of standards; for major elements they are better than 3% for Si, Ti, Fe, Ca and K, and 7% for Mg, Al, Mn and Na; for trace elements (above 10 ppm) they are better than 10%. On a subset of samples XRF analyses were duplicated on separated grain-size fractions, i.e., the coarse fraction > 63  $\mu$ m and the fine fraction < 63  $\mu$ m to find out the relationships between heavy metals content and grain-size. A further investigation was carried out using tests of metal extraction. According to the official Italian methods for soil analysis heavy metal extractions were obtained by using suprapure grade reagents, following the procedure proposed by Barbafieri et al. (1996). According to this protocol: a) 1 g of powdered sample is wet with a few millilitres (ml) of water and acidified with a few drops of HNO<sub>3</sub> within 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks (made of high-quality borosilicate glass); b) 20 ml of hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) are added and properly stirred; c) solutions are evaporated down to 3 ml by heating on a hot plate; d) further 9 ml of HCl + 3 ml of HNO<sub>3</sub> (i.e., 12 ml of aqua regia) are added and heating continues for 2 hours; e) the obtained solutions are centrifuged, filtered and transferred to other flasks that are taken to the final volume of 100 ml. Subsequently, the ICP-MS analyses were carried out using an X Series Thermo-Scientific spectrometer at the Department of Earth Sciences of the University of Ferrara. Specific amounts of Rh, In and Re were added to the analysed solutions as an internal standard, in order to correct the instrument drift. Accuracy and precision, based on replicated analyses of samples and standards are better than 10% for all elements, well above the detection limit. As reference standards, the E.P.A. Reference Standard SS-1 (a type B naturally contaminated soil) and the E.P.A. Reference Standard SS-2 (a type C naturally contaminated soil) were also analysed to cross-check and validate the results.

Mineral investigation was focused on femic fractions that plausibly host the heavy metals. These fractions were isolated using a Frantz magnetic separator, and then mounted on thin sections and properly polished. Subsequently, mineral compositions were obtained at the CNR–IGG Institute of Padova using a Cameca SX 50 electron microprobe, fitted with three wavelength-dispersive spectrometers, using natural silicates and oxides as standards.

#### COMPOSITION OF SEDIMENTS IN THE FERRARA SURROUNDINGS

Previous studies reporting petrographic observations and XRD analyses indicate that the alluvial sediments of both Po (Ps) and Reno (Rs) Rivers include quartz, feldspars, calcite, and micas, which are prevalent in the coarse sand, as well as phyllosilicates such as illite, kaolinite, chlorite, serpentine and smectite, which tend to prevail in the finer fraction (Chiorboli and Lenzi, 1992; Bianchini et al., 2002). In this contribution, emphasis has been devoted to the distinction of different sediment types (i.e., grain-size characteristics), and Ps and Rs sediments have been further subdivided in the following subgroups:

• Ps1, i.e., coarse sediments (sands) attributable to the Po River;

• Ps2, i.e., loamy sediments attributable to the Po River;

• Ps3, fine sediments attributable to the Po River;

• Rs1, i.e., coarse sediments (sands) attributable to the Reno River;



Fig. 1 - Localization of the studied area close to the city of Ferrara (44°50'00"N; 11°35'47"E) reporting a geographic overview of the whole Po River basin showing the main outcrops of ultramafic lithologies (Amorosi, 2012), as well as the percentage of ophiolite components in the Po alluvial sediments (Garzanti et al., 2012). A lithological sketch map showing the main sedimentary facies and the sampling sites is also reported.

• Rs2, i.e., fine sediments (sands) attributable to the Reno River;

Ps1 and Rs1 mainly consist of sandy sediments deposited in high-energy fluvial environments, and plausibly represent paleochannels of Po and Reno Rivers, respectively. Ps2 consists of sediments composed of sand, silt, and clay in relatively even concentration, representing interfluvial depositional sequences of Po River. Ps3 and Rs2 consist of clay sediments typical of low-energy sedimentation, possibly in wetlands formed between active river branches of Po and Reno Rivers, respectively.

The relative chemical compositions (Table 1 refers to

Sample	F9	FE11	FE12	FE13	FE16	FE19	FE22	FE24	FE2	FE3	F7	F8P	FE9	FE10	F13	FE14	FE15	FE17	FE18	FE20
Affinity	Ps1	Ps1	Ps1	Ps1	Ps1	Ps1	Ps1	Ps2	Ps2	Ps2	Ps2	Ps2	Ps2	Ps2	Ps2	Ps2	Ps2	Ps2	Ps2	Ps2
510 <sub>2 (wt%)</sub> TiO,	0.31	0.48 0.48	0.47 0.47	0.54 0.54	0.51	0.54	0.46	47.00 0.72	0.70	6.73 0.73	40.04 0.71	49.22 0.64	10.2c	05.26	40.00 0.68	62.04 0.72	0.73	0.67	44 0.75	10.71 0.71
$Al_2O_3$	9.39	11.11	10.45	10.94	11.43	10.71	10.44	14.37	13.94	13.82	14.90	13.21	12.36	13.79	14.01	13.80	14.38	12.39	14.25	13.11
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3 tot</sub>	2.71	4.01	3.78	4.31	4.31	4.28	3.76	7.28	6.12	6.52	60.9	5.35	5.05	5.73	5.84	7.17	6.40	5.31	7.17	5.73
MnO	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.13	0.10	0.19	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.11	0.13	0.17	0.14	0.11	0.23	0.15
MgO	2.67	4.21	3.68	3.95	3.54	4.14	3.89	3.44	3.87	3.93	2.73	4.12	2.55	4.37	3.96	3.96	3.70	4.27	3.63	4.04
CaO	4.64	10.08	10.19	10.03	7.08	11.67	8.51	10.00	9.80	10.60	10.10	12.65	12.35	9.68	11.77	11.55	8.19	11.51	9.92	11.20
$Na_2O$	1.12	1.48	1.40	1.49	1.33	1.29	1.58	0.34	0.63	0.48	0.32	0.71	0.62	0.76	0.51	0.44	0.54	0.80	0.40	0.56
$K_2O$	1.73	2.49	2.15	2.40	2.35	2.14	2.35	2.61	2.49	2.58	2.54	2.23	2.40	2.50	2.24	2.53	2.73	2.22	2.61	2.32
$P_2O_5$	0.08	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.28	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.16	0.12	0.13	0.16	0.12	0.15	0.13	0.12	0.33	0.16	0.13	0.13
LOI	13.63	5.82	7.39	5.68	7.08	7.79	5.34	13.83	10.69	12.14	15.63	11.57	11.79	9.90	14.08	14.31	10.82	10.45	13.33	12.48
Co ppm	7	9	œ	8	6	7	10	14	14	16	18	16	10	15	18	15	14	13	15	14
Cr	115	150	164	172	165	155	147	212	205	223	139	193	104	208	199	206	211	191	208	188
Ni	48	70	92	75	73	79	99	129	118	164	95	133	60	116	143	136	117	109	124	122
Pb	13	15	15	16	27	14	17	13	15	20	17	18	13	16	18	16	19	15	15	14
^	42	99	65	66	72	72	63	121	108	115	111	98	88	104	106	117	106	93	123	105
Zn	32	34	38	37	70	37	35	74	67	73	76	62	55	61	65	99	82	55	75	63
Cu	11	15	16	14	34	18	15	53	43	49	56	40	40	41	45	53	57	35	53	46
Sc	∞	10	12	12	13	12	12	16	17	16	14	15	12	15	14	14	16	14	16	14
Sample A fraite	FE21 De2	FE23 De2	НЕ25 Вел	НЕ26 Вед	HE28	FE6 De3	F12 De2	FE29 De2	F4 De1	ξ. <u>5</u>	FE4 De1	FII P.4	FE31 De1	FE1	FE5 De7	Р. С. Д	FE7	FE8 De7	F10 D.3	FE30 Ded
SiO	46.90	50.25	47.37	52.68	53.21	51.61	47.95	49.88	53.25	49.86	53.00	49.24	<b>53.13</b>	46.77	49.81	45.26	48.54	48.28	49.06	49.18
TiO,	0.71	0.65	0.70	0.69	0.71	0.88	0.74	0.79	0.47	0.59	0.66	0.60	0.66	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.83	0.76	0.76
$Al_2O_3$	13.83	12.70	13.61	13.16	14.09	20.45	16.23	16.12	12.22	13.80	12.84	13.58	12.93	18.55	14.84	17.73	15.10	16.29	15.93	15.10
$Fe_2O_{3 tot}$	7.24	5.82	6.61	5.87	6.23	8.24	6.65	7.82	4.19	5.19	5.29	5.04	5.33	9.00	6.91	6.88	6.86	7.21	6.51	6.73
MnO	0.18	0.12	0.15	0.12	0.11	0.05	0.14	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.16	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14
MgO	3.28	4.30	3.90	4.77	4.21	3.11	3.68	4.15	1.97	2.34	2.42	2.48	2.59	2.59	2.58	2.39	2.61	2.72	2.53	2.44
CaO	10.76	11.56	11.36	9.41	8.03	1.90	6.21	6.97	10.78	9.27	10.97	10.54	10.78	6.33	9.19	7.64	9.67	8.50	9.22	9.56
$Na_2O$	0.34	0.65	0.53	0.78	0.70	0.41	0.36	0.45	0.69	0.46	0.64	0.47	0.66	0.23	0.41	0.23	0.35	0.31	0.37	0.38
$K_2O$	2.48	2.24	2.53	2.46	2.57	4.15	2.68	3.14	2.27	2.38	2.61	2.30	2.50	4.06	2.97	3.23	3.05	3.42	2.92	3.00
$P_2O_5$	0.13	0.15	0.13	0.14	0.16	0.10	0.14	0.14	0.12	0.26	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.12	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.12
Comm	CI.4I	0C.11	01.61	9.94 15	16.6	11.6	12.61	01.01 01	11	0/-61	0	04.01	c1.11 8	14.11	14	20.01	14.79	12.10	12.42	60.21 17
Cr Cr	189	204	193	215	206	219	223	265	<i>LL</i>	107	102	94	06	156	127	135	127	139	124	121
Ni	128	140	128	127	109	110	145	178	55	67	60	65	59	79	71	LL	75	99	LL	99
Pb	13	18	16	16	15	25	21	16	16	20	18	15	16	17	17	17	16	13	19	13
Λ	122	98	111	102	106	163	117	134	71	84	92	86	90	146	121	130	127	134	121	122
Zn	71	59	65	59	64	109	83	89	53	60	61	61	57	92	82	85	81	70	88	74
Cu	62	39	47	35	44	79	52	65	39	74	44	45	45	59	53	09	56	55	56	54
Sc	14	14	13	15	17	19	15	19	11	13	14	12	13	16	17	15	16	16	17	16

Fig. 2 - Hierarchical cluster analysis of samples collected at depths of 100-120 cm. Note that samples related to the distinct typologies Ps1, Ps2, Ps3, Rs1, Rs2 are generally grouped in specific clusters, with only few exceptions that appear misclassified.



sediments sampled at a depth of 100-120 cm; Table 2 refers to sediments sampled at a depth of 20-30 cm) are obviously related to the presence and relative abundance of the above mentioned minerals.

The preliminary elaboration of the data has been obtained using the statistical processing system SPSS. The results, reported in the dendrogram of Fig. 2, reveal that the sample types defined in the field by grain-size observations can be discriminated by a cluster analysis. Samples pertaining to the distinct typologies Ps1, Ps2, Ps3, Rs1, Rs2 are, with few exceptions, coherently grouped in distinct clusters, thus demonstrating that the composition of alluvial sediments reflects both the provenance of the clastic components and the dynamic of the depositional environment.

In both Ps and Rs sample populations  $Al_2O_3$  is positively correlated with  $K_2O$  and negatively correlated with  $SiO_2$ , suggesting that the investigated samples represent a mixture of various proportions of quartz and clay minerals (Fig. 3).  $Fe_2O_3$ ,  $TiO_2$  but also several transition elements such as Cr, Ni (Co, V) show correlation with  $Al_2O_3$  indicating their partitioning in the fine fraction that is enriched in clay minerals (Fig. 4). These diagrams also highlight significant differences between the Ps and Rs sample populations that are significantly discriminated by transition elements such as chromium and nickel. In fact, although the Cr-Ni content is correlated to the amount of clay, significant difference exists between Rs and Ps. This is also confirmed by the analysis of separated grain-size fractions (Table 3) that are reported in Fig. 5. This diagram emphasizes that within each sample Cr-Ni budget is concentrated in the fine (clay-rich) particles.

To emphasize these differences between Ps and Rs, other Authors (Amorosi and Sammartino, 2007; Amorosi, 2012) proposed the use of elemental ratios such as  $Cr/Al_2O_3$ , Ni/Al\_2O<sub>3</sub> that plausibly rules out artefacts related to difference in the grain-size. Confirming these indications, we report some geo-referenced geochemical maps (Fig. 6) highlighting the  $Cr/Al_2O_3$ , Ni/Al\_2O\_3 spatial distribution that show the clear difference between Ps and Rs lithologies. In particular, Ps lithologies generally show  $Cr/Al_2O_3$  and Ni/Al\_2O\_3 ratios higher than 11.5 and 6.0, respectively.

Within this sampling collection, terrains of different provenance, i.e., Ps and Rs, are perfectly discriminated in term of MgO (wt%), Cr and Ni (ppm) and the following differences can be observed: i) Rs are characterized by a MgO content lower than 3 wt%, with an average composition of

1 4010 2		M may	1 (w1/v)				rendinon							ellara ar EE10		01 20-00	CIII.		EE40	E 20
Sample	Р. 1 Г. 1	LEII 2.2	7171 2 2	reis 7.0	FE10	rely 7.0	1522 7237	FE24	1 E.2	LES 0		5.5	FEY	reiu 5.2	5 LJ	FE14	ciar 2 c	FEI/	7 E 10	FE20
Affinity	PSI	P82	PS2	PS2	Ps1	PS2	PS2	P82	PS2	PS2	PS2	Ps2	PS2	PS2	PS2	PS3	PS2	P82	PS2	PS2
$SiO_{2(wt\%)}$	60.03	51.12	54.96 2.52	53.62	/0.26	09.66 0	52.36	50.69 م	54.41 2.50	55.29 2.60	49.68	54.II	51.46 0.00	52.31 2.23	48.31 0 20	50.08	52.52	51.84 0.00	75.15	51.39 م
1102	0.40	0.00	0.0/	0.00	75.0	79.0	10.0	0.09	0.08	0.68	0.04	0.02 17 7 1	79.0	0.75	00	0.77	0.75	0.09 07 C1	0.09 1 5 1 5	0.09
$A_{12}O_{3}$	01.11 2 7 2	07.01	20.61	90. 5	9./4	12.00	5 00	14.44 6 00	06.61 5 02	5 70	cu.41 ۲۸۶	15./4 5.74	15.54	14.09 6 20	14.90	46.CI	14.4/	13.0U	CI.CI	5 02
MnO MnO	60 0	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.09	0.11	0.12	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.14	0 12	0.15	0.10	0.14	0.14	0.14	01.0	0.12	0 17
MgO	3.20	4.06	4.18	4.01	3.48	4.16	4.09	3.53	4.32	4.05	2.81	3.86	2.67	4.28	3.83	3.80	3.73	4.03	3.84	3.97
CaO	5.70	8.93	8.46	9.53	5.53	10.38	8.81	8.61	8.02	8.24	9.97	6.87	10.74	8.44	6.97	6.51	7.64	9.78	8.02	9.92
$Na_2O$	1.03	0.52	0.77	0.69	1.80	1.01	0.67	0.50	0.75	0.77	0.44	0.73	0.52	0.70	0.39	0.42	0.53	0.61	0.50	0.58
$\mathbf{K}_2\mathbf{O}$	2.00	2.79	2.58	2.48	2.36	2.22	2.70	2.70	2.60	2.75	2.49	2.38	2.59	2.61	2.48	2.87	2.72	2.49	2.87	2.39
$P_2O_5$	0.20	0.16	0.28	0.23	0.07	0.22	0.36	0.34	0.23	0.22	0.18	0.30	0.23	0.16	0.22	0.20	0.30	0.23	0.18	0.18
LOI	12.41	10.39	9.02	9.57	3.61	8.84	10.64	11.46	9.06	8.15	14.12	12.01	12.26	9.68	15.63	12.01	10.80	10.90	10.65	11.14
Co ppm	11	10	6	10	7	9	6	11	6	6	15	14	9	11	20	15	10	10	10	6
Cr	144	198	201	195	126	183	199	192	250	220	140	187	110	212	212	224	204	194	214	195
Ni	67	118	102	107	47	90	107	108	112	105	83	76	65	117	141	141	112	111	120	114
Pb	16	5	4	7	7	2	15	4	6	10	20	24	9	4	22	6	4	З	4	4
V	62	104	88	90	42	81	91	101	95	98	95	84	88	106	104	126	105	76	110	101
Zn	46	56	61	54	22	42	70	63	69	62	82	70	62	64	78	83	75	56	70	58
Cu	24	46	52	39	12	27	55	53	51	48	64	53	51	54	54	65	53	44	51	44
Sc	II	11	10	Π	9	6	12	11	10	12	14	13	10	12	14	12	11	6	11	12
Sample	FE21	FE23	FE25	FE26	FE28	FE6	F12	FE29	F4	FS	FE4	F11	FE31	FE1	FES	F6	FE7	FE8	F10	FE30
Affinity	Ps2	Ps2	Ps3	Ps2	$P_{S3}$	$P_{S3}$	Ps2	Ps2	Rs1	Rs2	Rs1	Rs1	Rs1	Rs2	Rs2	Rs2	Rs2	Rs2	Rs2	Rs1
$\mathrm{SiO}_{2(wt\%)}$	50.26	53.62	49.50	50.38	47.94	51.53	51.86	52.69	52.03	48.31	54.00	49.80	52.76	49.02	53.15	48.79	49.33	48.49	49.72	51.98
$TiO_2$	0.65	0.67	0.77	0.71	0.75	0.84	0.58	0.69	0.52	0.74	0.57	0.57	0.69	0.82	0.71	0.80	0.82	0.79	0.77	0.64
$Al_2O_3$	13.08	14.55	16.26	14.25	16.13	19.98	13.32	14.20	12.96	15.41	12.80	13.21	14.18	18.12	14.61	17.35	17.87	16.55	15.79	13.99
$Fe_2O_{3 tot}$	5.82	5.94	7.54	6.39	7.69	8.21	5.04	5.89	4.44	6.48	4.74	4.81	5.81	7.66	5.97	7.21	7.47	7.22	6.64	5.45
MnO	0.15	0.13	0.08	0.14	0.15	0.08	0.11	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.15
MgO	3.79	4.16	3.83	4.15	3.75	3.35	3.61	4.09	2.16	2.26	2.41	2.35	2.56	2.60	2.62	2.46	2.58	2.52	2.47	2.54
CaO	11.02	7.88	6.98	9.12	7.65	1.85	7.19	8.19	8.93	7.15	10.91	9.86	9.15	5.82	8.25	7.50	6.14	7.99	8.44	9.82
$Na_2O$	0.55	0.67	0.41	0.55	0.39	0.33	0.61	0.63	0.53	0.30	0.67	0.46	0.58	0.28	0.53	0.31	0.29	0.30	0.37	0.55
$K_2O$	2.30	2.67	3.13	2.60	2.89	3.78	2.17	2.59	2.32	2.63	2.71	2.24	2.74	3.77	2.97	3.43	3.77	3.30	2.95	2.74
$P_2O_5$	0.18	0.26	0.17	0.21	0.15	0.16	0.20	0.34	0.23	0.23	0.29	0.14	0.18	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.19	0.23	0.18	0.20
IOI	12.21	9.45	11.33	11.51	12.51	9.90	15.31	10.56	15.73	16.35	10.73	16.41	11.19	11.53	10.08	11.76	11.42	12.47	12.52	11.93
Co ppm	10	6	12	12	13	15	15	7	10	15	5	11	7	11	7	18	6	10	16	5
C	180	205	221	218	238	260	166	206	85	117	89	88	105	155	115	144	147	138	125	107
ž	111	111	150	127	155	136	98	112	52	68	54	58	58	71	61	<i>4</i>	73	72	74	56
Pb	9	5	×	7	9	18	19	14	22	18	13	11	11	7	19	20	6	8	17	12
^	96	98	126	106	128	157	80	95	69	108	LL	LL	93	137	102	129	139	132	119	88
Zn	72	62	84	68	78	111	56	90	67	66	63	56	80	93	80	101	93	84	16	92
Cu	86	42	59	50	60	88	35	123	59	87	74	42	109	65	73	65	63	60	59	61
$\mathbf{Sc}$	10	11	14	12	13	17	13	12	11	14	6	10	10	15	1	18	15	14	17	10

Table 2 - Bulk XRF maior (wt%) and trace (ppm) element composition of sediments collected in the surroundings of Ferrara at a depth of 20-30 cm.



Fig. 3 - SiO<sub>2</sub> vs Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O vs Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> variation diagrams reporting composition of sediments cropping out close to Ferrara. Compositions are referred to sampling depths of 100-120 cm.

2.47 wt%, and a median composition of 2.51 wt%; the Ni content is lower than 80 ppm, with an average composition of 68 ppm and a median composition of 79 ppm; the Cr content is lower than 160 ppm, with an average composition of 118 ppm and a median composition of 122 ppm; ii) Ps present a MgO content higher than 3 wt%, with an average composition of 3.79 wt% and a median composition of 3.92 wt%; the Ni content is higher than 78 ppm, with an average composition of 111 ppm and a median composition of 117 ppm; the Cr content is higher than 130 ppm, with an average composition of 132 ppm and a median composition of 117 ppm; the Cr content is higher than 130 ppm, with an average composition of 132 ppm and a median composition of 159 ppm. The mentioned differences are reported as box-plots (i.e., whiskers diagrams) in Fig. 7.

This difference between the Ps and Rs populations is not an artefact related to grain size differences, as demonstrated by the particle size analysis and by the log  $(Fe_2O_3/K_2O)$  vs. log  $(SiO_2/Al_2O_3)$  diagram, which link chemistry to grainsize distribution (Herron, 1988). Therefore, the relative metal enrichment of Ps indicates that the minerals included in the fine fraction are peculiarly enriched in MgO, Ni, Cr, V, and Co. This evidence was already recorded by Chiorboli and Lenzi (1992) who recognized the significant presence of serpentine in Ps, and by Bianchini et al. (2002) that indicated that within Ps samples chlorite is peculiarly abundant and enriched in MgO.



Fig. 4 - Cr vs  $Al_2O_3$  and Ni vs  $Al_2O_3$  variation diagrams reporting composition of sediments cropping out close to Ferrara. Compositions are referred to sampling depths of 100-120 cm.



Fig. 5 - Cr vs  $Al_2O_3$  and Ni vs  $Al_2O_3$  variation diagrams reporting composition of distinct grain-size (coarse, i.e., > 63 µm; fine, i.e., < 63 µm) fractions obtained by sieving. Note that Cr, Ni and  $Al_2O_3$  decidedly increase in the fine clay-rich fractions.

Table 3 - XRF major (wt%) and trace (ppm) element composition of fine (< 65 mm) and coarse (> 65 mm) fractions of sediments collected in the surroundings of Ferrara preliminarily separated by sieving.

00.00																			i	
A ffinity	Ρ. P.	- 1e	4 ď	<u>ت</u> ہ	Ξď	ŝ	Ξ	E18 e2	Ξ.ď	20 20	Pe	23 ¢	H. P.	ۍ د د	H S	n c	Ϋ́Ε.	1 21	P4 Re	_
6 IIII	fine	coarse	fine	coarse	fine	coarse	fine	coarse	fine	coarse	fine	coarse	fine	coarse	fine	coarse	fine	coarse	fine	coarse
$SiO_{2 (wt\%)}$	49.31	72.87	51.76	74.19	50.64	71.36	48.91	71.30	51.02	69.99	51.32	69.07	49.97	67.62	52.18	67.80	52.93	66.66	54.14	69.44
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.76	0.34	0.72	0.32	0.77	0.43	0.74	0.30	0.70	0.25	0.75	0.28	0.68	0.18	0.73	0.16	0.70	0.18	0.66	0.16
$Al_2O_3$	15.33	8.29	14.24	8.57	14.92	8.41	15.84	7.59	14.25	8.21	15.45	8.42	14.23	6.36	15.26	7.10	14.01	7.45	13.83	7.22
$Fe_2O_{3 tot}$	7.55	2.38	6.41	2.46	6.78	3.04	7.09	2.58	5.90	2.34	6.75	2.34	6.03	1.57	6.18	1.84	5.93	1.81	5.63	1.65
MnO	0.17	0.06	0.16	0.06	0.15	0.08	0.13	0.09	0.12	0.08	0.14	0.06	0.15	0.10	0.15	0.11	0.15	0.10	0.15	0.09
MgO	4.23	2.26	4.23	2.59	3.99	2.63	3.76	2.69	3.92	2.26	4.09	2.54	2.72	1.18	2.62	1.18	2.60	1.19	2.58	1.09
CaO	6.73	5.48	8.00	4.38	8.02	5.79	7.60	6.39	9.50	7.80	7.25	6.92	9.84	10.70	7.80	9.27	8.91	8.99	8.46	8.99
$Na_2O$	0.49	2.11	0.68	2.08	0.49	1.97	0.37	1.75	0.55	1.63	0.51	1.81	0.43	1.54	0.47	1.64	0.57	1.55	0.59	1.68
$K_2O$	2.81	1.87	2.64	1.93	2.73	1.88	2.84	1.83	2.38	1.71	2.68	1.78	2.57	1.86	2.96	2.01	2.73	2.07	2.70	2.21
$P_2O_5$	0.50	0.09	0.36	0.08	0.22	0.09	0.19	0.07	0.18	0.10	0.27	0.12	0.24	0.09	0.22	0.14	0.18	0.18	0.25	0.08
IOI	12.12	4.25	10.80	3.33	11.30	4.32	12.55	5.42	11.47	8.94	10.81	6.67	13.14	8.81	11.44	8.74	11.28	9.81	11.00	7.39
Co ppm	20	pu	12	pu	12	pu	11	pu	8	pu	11	pu	5	pu	9	pu	5	pu	2	pu
Cr	250	114	213	120	224	141	232	132	197	92	224	102	132	42	118	38	110	46	108	41
iz	165	33	139	33	144	40	154	46	118	44	139	41	72	26	61	29	56	28	53	26
$^{\mathrm{Pb}}$	28	10	15	10	13	8	13	6	11	4	13	4	11	4	17	6	15	7	15	17
^	104	41	96	44	110	51	121	38	105	42	109	43	100	19	106	25	95	24	87	20
Zn	188	29	150	27	96	30	102	30	75	33	89	28	114	24	98	38	90	32	141	28
Cu	88	14	55	12	67	14	61	14	45	19	56	17	63	16	80	26	110	70	79	22
Sc	11	5	12	4	13	9	13	5	11	5	11	9	10	5	10	9	10	5	6	4
20-30 cm	FE	<u></u>	FE	20	E .	.23 2	E I	E9	FE	31	Ξ,	÷,								
Affinity	84	7	3 1	25	7	82	-	18	3	1	Ks									
	fine	coarse	fine	coarse	fine	coarse	fine	coarse	fine	coarse	fine	coarse								
$\mathrm{SiO}_{2(wt\%)}$	46.83	72.55	50.73	66.80	50.31	65.70	50.42	63.97	52.88	61.81	51.25	62.66								
$TiO_2$	0.75	0.32	0.70	0.25	0.68	0.30	0.69	0.20	0.65	0.27	0.65	0.26								
$AI_2O_3$	14.61	7.80	13.48	9.18	13.57	9.53	13.83	7.26	13.20	8.93	13.48	8.98								
$Fe_2O_{3 tot}$	6.74	2.38	5.47	2.61	5.83	2.82	5.70	2.30	5.28	2.93	5.62	2.77								
MnO	0.13	0.06	0.13	0.07	0.12	0.06	0.16	0.12	0.14	0.14	0.16	0.11								
MgO	3.91	2.38	4.08	2.42	4.30	2.87	2.63	1.33	2.62	1.64	2.51	1.55								
CaO	10.64	5.82	10.71	7.39	10.71	7.64	10.83	11.58	10.44	10.34	11.18	10.62								
$Na_2O$	0.37	1.90	0.63	1.71	0.59	1.60	0.47	1.33	0.61	1.28	0.54	1.25								
$K_2O$	2.59	1.70	2.28	1.98	2.27	2.02	2.42	1.94	2.45	2.25	2.52	2.33								
$P_2O_5$	0.13	0.06	0.14	0.05	0.16	0.07	0.13	0.04	0.13	0.05	0.15	0.06								
LOI	13.30	5.04	11.65	7.54	11.47	7.38	12.73	9.92	11.60	10.36	11.94	9.41								
Co ppm	11	pu	9	pu	8	pu	Ζ	pu	1	pu	ŝ	pu								
Cr	228	114	183	86	206	110	109	47	103	57	103	54								
ż	169	37	114	45	135	53	64	35	53	43	57	36								
Pb	8	9	×	4	8	4	8	4	5	7	7	Э								
2	120	42	100	46	97	51	66	28	88	44	92	39								
Zn	82	25	72	36	71	36	80	29	64	37	81	35								
Cu	55	14	44	18	43	21	53	19	46	35	52	27								
Sc	12	9	10	9	=	9	6	9	10	9	10	9								



Fig. 6 - Geomorphological sketch map of the alluvial plain close to Ferrara, reporting geo-referenced values of the  $Cr/Al_2O_3$  (a) and  $Ni/Al_2O_3$  ratios (b). As emphasized by Amorosi and Sammartino (2007) and Amorosi (2012) these ratios are useful parameters to discriminate the sediments of the Po River from those of rivers flowing down from the Apennine (e.g., the Reno River).

#### GEOCHEMICAL ANOMALIES AND INSIGHTS ON RISK ASSESSMENT

Risk assessment of metal contamination in surface sediments should take into consideration: 1) comparisons of the observed concentrations with those typical of unpolluted sediments generated by similar mother-rocks; 2) investigations on metal distribution and speciation and 3) comparisons with the threshold values of sediment quality guidelines.

As show in Fig. 8, the regolith composition of ophiolite ultramafic rocks cropping out within the basin (Bonifacio et al., 1997; Lombini et al., 1998) suggests that the high Cr-Ni background locally found in sediments of the Po River plain is not related to anthropogenic contamination. Coherently, the current-day solid load of the Po River is also characterized by anomalously high Cr and Ni content due to the significant presence of femic particles suspended in the flowing water (Viganò et al., 2003; Vignati et al., 2003; Farkas et al., 2007). Therefore the geochemical anomaly is plausibly a geogenic feature which indicates that a significant fraction of ophiolite-derived rock fragments and minerals are dispersed and mixed in the studied sediments (Amorosi, 2012). In particular, the percentage of ophiolite components

Fig. 7 - Box plots reporting the distribution of Ni and Cr in distinct facies of alluvial sediments related to the Po and Reno Rivers.



Fig. 8 - MgO - Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> - (Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> + NiO)\*1000 triangular diagram used to discriminate sediments from the Po River from those of the Reno River. Note that compositions of Po sediments trend toward the composition of soils evolved from ophiolite ultramafic rocks.

FE22	Ps2	26.3	115	14.5	107	39.6	85.7	12.4	0.83	0.55	0.69	0.87	pu	25.8	0.85		1.2
FE21	Ps2	24.0	102	14.0	104	67.4	89.0	13.3	0.74	pu	0.59	pu	pu	16.4	0.59		1.2
FE20	Ps2	23.9	105	14.8	109	32.5	69.69	11.4	0.82	pu	0.55	pu	pu	14.0	0.76		1.2
FE19	Ps2	20.6	94	9.8	80.3	15.5	47.1	9.17	0.60	pu	pu	pu	pu	7.40	0.65		0.8
FE18	Ps2	31.0	114	14.3	111	32.8	76.1	10.8	0.92	0.51	0.58	pu	pu	15.3	0.67		1.2
FE17	Ps2	36.8	114	13.3	112	29.4	6.99	11.6	0.92	pu	0.55	pu	pu	12.0	0.71		1.1
FE16	Ps1	5.7	57	7.0	56.5	5.1	23.5	5.76	pu	pu	pu	pu	pu	3.79	pu		0.5
FE15	Ps2	51.3	136	15.3	129	37.9	89.2	12.2	0.95	pu	0.68	0.51	pu	16.4	0.71		1.3
FE14	Ps3	46.9	135	17.0	134	42.1	86.5	13.3	1.07	0.64	0.68	0.61	pu	17.9	0.71		1.4
FE13	Ps2	32.3	102	11.7	101	24.0	51.5	10.3	0.59	pu	0.51	pu	pu	11.5	0.53		1.0
FE12	Ps2	35.9	113	12.1	108	35.7	64.1	10.7	0.66	pu	0.55	pu	pu	11.3	0.61		1.0
FE11	Ps2	28.7	107	12.4	106	26.1	54.0	11.8	0.78	pu	pu	pu	pu	10.9	0.83		1.0
FE10	Ps2	26.8	118	13.9	117	37.1	65.4	13.0	0.79	pu	pu	pu	pu	12.1	0.83		1.1
FE9	Ps2	17.6	52.6	10.9	58.4	30.1	62.9	10.0	0.62	pu	0.52	pu	pu	13.7	0.65		0.8
FE8	Rs2	37.6	65.8	13.5	69.7	37.6	83.2	8.54	0.75	pu	0.53	pu	pu	13.9	0.70		1.1
FE7	Rs2	42.3	65.7	13.2	68.8	36.9	81.4	8.18	0.76	pu	pu	pu	pu	12.6	pu		1.1
FE6	Ps3	63.4	163	15.9	147	55.5	97.6	11.7	1.21	0.60	0.60	0.68	pu	19.3	0.57		1.4
FES	Rs2	28.8	44.6	12.1	49.0	49.3	80.5	10.9	0.65	0.52	pu	pu	pu	23.4	0.63		1.0
FE4	Rs1	28.7	93.9	13.0	86.6	32.5	61.3	8.87	0.81	pu	pu	pu	pu	15.0	0.55		1.0
FE3	Ps2	20.5	37.7	10.6	40.7	53.2	77.2	9.6	0.65	0.69	0.53	pu	pu	18.5	0.66		0.8
FE2	Ps2	29.6	133	14.0	95.7	36.4	71.5	10.1	0.91	pu	pu	pu	pu	13.4	0.65		1.1
FE1	Rs2	51.1	69.0	16.3	68.4	46	109	8.44	0.98	0.70	0.58	pu	pu	17.4	0.69	1ENT	1.3
Sample	Affinity	$V_{(ppm)}$	Ċ	Co	ïz	Cu	Zn	As	Se	Mo	Cd	Sb	Hg	Pb	n	ENRICHIN	INDEX

high backgrounds. See text for further details. nd- not detected

in the Po alluvial sediments surrounding Ferrara appears to be ca. 3.5%, as estimated by Garzanti et al. (2012).

The geogenic nature of the observed high Cr and Ni content is corroborated by the lack of Top Enrichment Factor (TEF), determined by the ratio between the concentrations of Cr and Ni of the superficial samples collected at 20-30 cm and those recorded in the same sites at depths of 100-120 cm. This conclusion is further supported by the composition of ancient bricks (and mortars) from historical buildings in Ferrara (Bianchini et al., 2004; 2006). These building materials, made with local sediments analogous to those considered in this study and manufactured in times preceding any significant form of anthropogenic pollution, show compositions strictly comparable with those presented in this study.

The observed concentrations are also compared with legislative thresholds that define contaminated soils. However, it has to be noted that these normative constraints to the soil use usually refer to the metal concentration of the "extracted" solutions, which is usually lower than the bulk concentration. In this light, we re-analysed the investigated sediments according to the normative protocol (see the methods in the previous section) extracting metals with aqua regia (Table 4). Comparing XRF analyses on the bulk samples and ICP-MS analyses on the "extracted" solutions, as reported in Fig. 9, it can be stated that about 50% of the total Cr budget is mobile, and that about 90% of the total Ni budget is mobile.

The Cr concentration appears to be below the tolerance limits in all the investigated samples, whereas Ni concentration of some Ps2-Ps3 soils exceeds the maximum concentration admissible (120 ppm) in terrains that are used for residential purposes and public "green areas" (Italian Legislative Decree 152, 03/04/2006). The reported analyses also provide guidelines for agricultural activities. For example, following the Italian Legislative Decree 99 (27/01/1992), some terrains included in the Ps group (in particular the Ps2 and Ps3) containing more than 75 ppm of Ni could not to be treated and fertilized with sewage sludge (Minnini and Sartori, 1987). The observed Ni content often exceeds the critical thresholds defined for agricultural terrains by Kloke (1993) and Micò et al. (2006 and references therein) in Germany and Spain, respectively. The Enrichment Index is another parameter proposed to evaluate the degree of trace metal contamination (EI; Medici et al., 2011); it averages numerous transition metals preliminary normalized to the tolerance limits of agricultural soils: EI = Co/20 + Cr/150 + Cu/120 + Ni/120 + Pb/100 + V/90 + Zn/150/7. It has to be noted that some Ps2 and Ps3 lithologies display a metal Enrichment Index higher than one, indicating concerns for agricultural activities.

## **HEAVY METAL SPECIATION**

The speciation of chromium and nickel among the different minerals has been investigated by electron microprobe. Attention has been focused on the femic minerals analyzing serpentine, talc, chlorite, amphiboles, pyroxenes, micas, epidotes and oxides. Results indicate that the main carrier of Cr and Ni are represented by chlorite and serpentine, respectively.

Serpentine, and -at least in part- chlorite (Table 5) are inherited from ophiolite lithologies, whereas the provenance of micas and epidotes (Supplementary Table, available in the journal repository) is less constrained. The data-set

Table 4 - ICP-MS analyses of aqua regia solutions that extracted trace elements from sediments collected in the surroundings of Ferrara

Minetal 0,000 SiO2 33 SiO2 33 TiO2 0. A1,03 12 Cr <sub>2</sub> O3 12 Cr <sub>2</sub> O3 3 Cr <sub>2</sub> O3 3 TiO2 0.0 NIO 0.0	лие 	33.94																	
sio <sub>2</sub> Tio <sub>2</sub> 33 Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 12 Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 1-12 Ano Nio		33.94										200							
<b>TiO<sub>2</sub></b> <b>AI<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub></b> <b>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub></b> <b>1</b> .2 <b>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub></b> <b>1</b> .2 <b>CCr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub></b> <b>1</b> .2 <b>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub></b> <b>1</b> .2 <b>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> <b>1</b>.2 <b>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> <b>1</b>.2 <b>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub></b> <b>1</b>.2 <b>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> <b>1</b>.2 <b>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> <b>1</b>.2 <b>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> <b>1</b>.2 <b>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub></b> <b>1</b>.2 <b>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> <b>1</b>.2 <b>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub></b> <b>1</b>.2 <b>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> <b>1</b>.2 <b>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> <b>1</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> <b>1</b>.2 <b>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> <b>1</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> <b>1</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> <b>1</b>.2 <b>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> <b>1</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> <b>1</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub><b>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> <b>1</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub><b>C</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub><b>C</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub><b>C</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub><b>C</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub><b>C</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub><b>C</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub><b>C</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub><b>C</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub><b>C</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub><b>C</b>.2C</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub><b>C</b>.2C</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub><b>C</b>.2C</b>.2C</b>.2Cr<sub>2</sub><b>C</b>.2C</b>.2C</b>.2C</b>.2 <b>Cr<sub>2</sub><b>C</b>.2 <b>C</b>.2 <b>C</b>.</b></b></b></b></b></b></b></b></b></b></b></b></b></b></b></b></b></b>	01 0.05 55 12.70 .76 0.71 .80 9.53	000	31.40	32.02	29.68	27.08	25.07	25.41	24.95	25.45		59.08	52.24						
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 12 Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 1. FeOt 3. NiO 0.0	55 12.70 .76 0.71 .80 9.53	0.0	0.01	0.04	0.03	00.00	0.03	0.02	0.11	0.15		0.01	0.09						
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> FeOt NiO	76 0.71 80 9.53	12.46	12.99	16.68	19.42	21.28	21.23	20.00	19.82	20.02		1.05	4.52						
MnO Nio 0. 0. 0.	RN 9.53	2.51	0.15	0.38	0.42	0.02	00.00	0.00	0.02	00.00		0.30	1.00						
NiO 0 0	<u>,,,,</u>	11.08	15.87	17.67	16.46	24.46	29.77	30.59	30.11	30.68		5.08	3.81						
NIO	01 0.19	0.04	0.13	0.06	00.0	0.22	0.76	0.69	0.61	0.56		0.00	0.02						
	18 0.22	0.22	0.11	0.03	0.03							0.27	0.14						
MgO 33	1.79 25.65	27.25	22.69	20.27	21.52	14.78	10.29	10.85	11.36	10.57		28.47	30.38						
<b>CaO</b> 0.	05 1.06	0.22	1.24	0.85	0.31	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.09		0.16	0.10						
Na <sub>2</sub> O 0.	03 0.07	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.06	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05		0.00	0.40						
<b>K₂O</b> 0.	03 0.03	0.06	0.04	0.08	0.00	0.03	0.02	0.00	0.05	0.02		0.05	0.00						
V <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> 0.	02 0.04	0.09	0.02	0.02	0.04	,		ı	,			0.00	0.04						
<b>tot</b> 86	0.61 87.23	87.89	84.66	88.10	87.98	87.91	87.17	87.60	87.07	87.59		94.46	92.74						
Mineral Ser	oentine																		
<b>SiO</b> 2 36	.32 36.93	37.92	40.85	39.27	37.53	39.57	39.79	41.18	42.25	44.23	38.59	44.97	42.40	42.07	43.25	44.13	43.92	4	4.08
<b>TiO</b> <sup>2</sup> 0.	00 0.03	0.05	0.01	0.05	0.09	0.03	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.20	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.04	U	0.04
<b>Al<sub>2</sub>O</b> 3 0.	71 3.41	3.18	1.35	3.12	4.98	1.47	3.37	1.62	1.82	0.33	4.17	1.86	3.12	2.70	2.30	1.62	1.97		1.70
Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 0.	02 1.29	0.29	0.28	0.36	1.10	0.03	1.74	0.12	0.19	0.00	0.61	0.05	0.15	0.21	0.18	0.12	0.21	_	0.40
FeOt 12	23 8.97	9.98	6.16	10.49	13.14	8.04	4.68	4.31	5.28	4.70	12.28	3.99	7.99	7.35	4.29	2.49	1.68		2.13
MnO 0.	11 0.08	0.10	0.06	0.14	0.12	0.12	0.06	0.09	0.04	0.07	0.13	0.08	0.09	0.13	0.15	0.04	0.06	-	0.01
NIO 0.	31 0.17	0.33	0.31	0.23	0.35	0.10	0.25	0.12	0.19	0.28	ī	ı	ı	,	,	·	,		,
MgO 34	.68 33.23	31.15	35.62	30.64	27.59	35.21	35.49	38.74	37.47	37.48	29.81	37.65	34.30	33.78	38.77	40.43	40.32	V	t0.21
<b>CaO</b> 0.	20 0.29	0.53	0.37	0.11	0.69	0.31	0.28	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.31	0.11	0.09	0.07	0.03	0.04	0.02	_	0.01
Na <sub>2</sub> O 0.	03 0.09	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.02	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.01	0.00		0.00
<b>K₂O</b> 0.	05 0.08	0.03	00.00	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.02	0.02	0.03	00.0	0.02	0.03		0.00
V₂O₅ 0.	00 0.02	0.03	0.00	0.00	00.00	00.0	0.03	0.01	0.03	00.0							•		
tot 84	.65 84.60	83.65	85.03	84.46	85.64	84.96	85.76	86.32	87.40	87.22	86.19	88.81	88.20	86.37	89.01	88.91	88.25	õ	3.59

Table 5 - Electron microprobe analyses of chlorite, serpentine and talc crystals separated from sediments collected in the surroundings of Ferrara.



Fig. 9 - Comparison between the bulk sediment (XRF) Cr and Ni concentrations and those recorded on aqua regia extracting solutions (ICP-MS). Numbers represent the amount of metal (% respect to the bulk budget) mobilized by leaching with aqua regia.

shows that serpentine crystals display Mg# [Mg/(Mg + Fe)]usually higher than 0.8, indicating that the genesis is related to the transformation of olivine included in ultrafemic rocks such as the mantle peridotites typically recorded in ophiolite associations. These serpentine crystals host up to 0.4 wt% of nickel, thus suggesting that this element is significantly retained in the lattice during the transformation of pristine olivine-rich peridotite parageneses to serpentine-bearing regoliths.-Chlorite is quite heterogeneous ranging from ripidolite, penninite up to diabantitic compositions, being variably enriched in Mg, Cr and Ca, and hosts up to 2.5 wt% of chromium. This heterogeneity plausibly reflects significant differences in the parent rocks, which can be discriminated through the Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> vs MnO diagram of Fig. 10, originally proposed by Zaccarini and Garuti (2008). On this basis, it can be observed that the chromium-rich chlorites are those deriving from the ultrafemic lithologies (i.e., peridotites and serpentinites) of the ophiolite sequences. The analyses of these phases reported on the MgO vs SiO<sub>2</sub> and MgO vs Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> diagrams (Fig. 11) reveal that the composition of serpentine and chlorite are quite variable from the ideal stoichiometric formula thus suggesting the complex nature of these phyllosilicates that plausibly consist of mixed serpentine/chlorite, smectite layers (Alietti and Mejsner, 1980). This is confirmed by comparatively high Ca concentration in chlorite crystals of some samples that could be attributed to interlayering with smectite layers (Bettison and Schiffman, 1988); according to Miyahara et al. (2005) the presence of smectite in the analysed chlorite grains can be accounted for up to 30%. Therefore, the observed hetero-



Fig. 10 -  $Cr_2O_3$  vs MnO composition of chlorite crystals included in Po River alluvial sediments that according to Zaccarini and Garuti (2008) is useful to highlight crystals derived from the weathering of ultrafemic parent rocks.



Fig. 11 - SiO<sub>2</sub> vs MgO and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> vs MgO composition of chlorite, serpentine, and talc included in Po River alluvial sediments. Note that the wide compositional spectrum suggests a composite nature of these phyllosicate crystals with alternation of dioctaedral and trioctaedral layers.

geneities of the considered phyllosilicates reflect evolving environments ranging from surface to low-grade metamorphic conditions; this evolution in the supergene environment induces formation of complex phyllosilicates alternating dioctaedral and trioctaedral layers (Środoń,1999).

The considered inosilicates (Supplementary Table, available in the journal repository) do not contain Cr and Ni, and merely confirm the presence of ophiolite components in the studied sediments: clinopyroxene and orthopyroxene record the following compositional ranges  $En_{53-52} Fs_{21-20} Wo_{27}$  and  $En_{75-66} Fs_{23-21} Wo_{4-1}$ , respectively, as often observed in femic magmatic rocks; amphibole includes Mg-horneblende as well as pargasite that are compatible with those of ophiolite lithologies.

#### CONCLUSIONS

High heavy metals backgrounds, in particular chromium and nickel, are renowned in soils and sediments of the Po Plain. This feature, due to ophiolite contributions in the Po River sediments, appears more marked upstream the Ticino confluence (up to 7%) that is relatively close femic/ultrafemic outcrops, and gradually vanish toward the studied area downstream, where the percentage of ophiolite components is reduced down 3.5% (Garzanti et al., 2012).

The presented geochemical maps emphasize that sediments related to the Reno River (Rs1 and Rs2) display comparatively low Cr-Ni contents, and that the anomalously high Cr-Ni backgrounds are confined on the outcrops of fine alluvial sediments of the Po River (Ps2 and Ps3). This evidence suggests that heavy metals are trapped and sequestrated by specific phyllosilicates (serpentine, chlorite, smectite) that are preferentially deposited in the low-energy anastomosing fluvial system of the Po River delta. Further enrichment of heavy metals is favoured by the presence of organic matter, typically characterizing the deltaic depositional environments of Po River.

Our investigation reveals that the mobility of chromium is limited as this element is effectively sequestrated in chlorite crystals, and the natural water of the area (e.g., the water of Po River) usually display concentration (< 10 mg/l; Authors unpublished data) lower than the national drinking standards (50 mg/l). Unfortunately, this element is considered toxic and dangerous even at extremely low concentration if oxidized in the hexavalent form that is prevalent in supergene conditions and in natural water, thus posing serious environmental concerns. Nickel, on the other hand, displays a higher mobility, possibly because it is associated with serpentine that is a metastable mineral phase (Kierczak et al., 2007). Coherently the Cr/Ni is always higher than one in the investigated sediments and soils, whereas is always lower than one in both the natural waters (e.g., the water of Po River; Authors unpublished data) and agricultural products (Bianchini et al., 2012) of the area. Therefore, the high bio-accessibility of nickel represents further geochemical risk for the area and the relative content has to be carefully monitored in the local crops (Smart and Sherlock, 1987; Cempel and Nikel, 2005).

For these reasons, anthropogenic heavy-metals loads have to be minimized on these terrains limiting the use of sludge fertilization as well as the construction of landfills that could potentially release additional Cr and Ni-rich components (Abu-Zeid et al., 2004) as well as acidic fluids that enhance the contaminant mobility. Moreover, aquaculture activities such as mussels and clam farms developed in the lagoons widespread around the delta of Po River are also potentially exposed to heavy metals bio-accumulation and the related products have to be carefully monitored.

#### REFERENCES

- Abu-Zeid N., Bianchini G., Santarato G. and Vaccaro C., 2004. Geochemical characterisation and geophysical mapping of Landfill leachates: The Marozzo canal case study (NE Italy). Environ. Geol., 45: 439-447.
- Alietti A. and Mejsner J., 1980. Structure of a talc/saponite mixedlayer mineral. Clays Clay Miner., 28: 388-390.
- Amorosi A., 2012. Chromium and nickel as indicators of sourceto-sink sediment transfer in a Holocene alluvial and coastal system (Po Plain, Italy). Sediment. Geol., in press. doi:10.1016/ j.sedgeo.2012.04.011.
- Amorosi A. and Sammartino I., 2007. Influence of sediment provenance on background values of potentially toxic metals from near-surface sediments of Po coastal plain (Italy). Int. J. Earth Sci. (Geol. Rundsch.), 96: 389-396.
- Amorosi A., Centineo M.C., Dinelli E., Lucchini F. and Tateo F., 2002. Geochemical and mineralogical variations as indicators of provenance changes in Late Quaternary deposits of SE Po Plain. Sediment. Geol., 151: 273-292.

- Barbafieri M., Lubrano L. and Petruzzelli G., 1996. Characterization of pollution in sites contaminated by heavy metals: a proposal. Annali di Chimica, 86: 585-594.
- Bettison L.A. and Schiffman P., 1988. Compositional and structural variations of phyllosilicates from the Point Sal ophiolite, California. Am. Mineral., 73: 62-76.
- Bianchini G., Laviano R., Lovo S. and Vaccaro C., 2002. Chemical-mineralogical characterisation of clay sediments around Ferrara (Italy): a tool for an environmental analysis. Appl. Clay Sci., 21: 165-176.
- Bianchini G., Marrocchino M., Moretti A. and Vaccaro C., 2006. Chemical-mineralogical characterisation of historical bricks from Ferrara: an integrated bulk and micro analytical approach. Geol. Soc. London Spec. Publ., 257: 127-139.
- Bianchini G., Marrocchino E. and Vaccaro C., 2004. Chemical and mineralogical characterisation of historic mortars in Ferrrara (NE Italy). Cement Concrete Res., 34: 1471-1475.
- Bianchini G., Natali C., Di Giuseppe D. and Beccaluva L., 2012. Heavy metals in soils and sedimentary deposits of the Padanian Plain (Ferrara, Northern Italy): characterisation and biomonitoring. J. Soils Sedim., 12: 1145-1153.
- Bonifacio E., Zanini E., Boero V. and Franchini-Angela M., 1997. Pedogenesis in soil catena on serpentinite in north-western Italy. Geoderma, 75: 33-51.
- Cempel M. and Nikel G., 2006. Nickel: A review of its sources and environmental toxicology. Polish J. Environ. Stud., 15: 375-382.
- Chiorboli S. and Lenzi G., 1992. Caratteristiche mineralogiche di sedimenti alluvionali del sottosuolo della pianura ferrarese (Sedimenti del Po e del Reno). Boll. Serv. Geol. d'It. 106: 35-53.
- Facchinelli A., Sacchi E. and Mallen L., 2001. Multivariate statistical and GIS approach to identify heavy metal sources in soils. Environ. Pollut., 114: 313-324.
- Farkas A., Erratico C. and Viganò L., 2007. Assessment of the environmental significance of heavy metal pollution in surficial sediments of the River Po. Chemosphere, 68: 761-768.
- Garzanti E., Resentini A., Vezzoli G., Andò S., Malusà M. and Padoan M., 2012. Forward compositional modelling of Alpine orogenic sediments. Sedim. Geol., 280: 149-164.
- Garzanti E., Scutellà M. and Vidimari C., 1998. Provenance from ophiolites and oceanic allochthons: modern beach and river sands from Liguria and the Northern Apennines (Italy). Ofioliti, 23 (2): 65-82.
- Garzanti E., Vezzoli G. and Andò S., 2011. Paleogeographic and paleodrainage changes during Pleistocene glaciations (Po Plain, Northern Italy). Earth Sci. Rev., 105: 25-48.
- Herron M.M., 1988. Geochemical classification of terrigenous sands and shales from core or log data. J. Sedim. Petrol., 58: 820-829.
- Kierczak J., Neel C., Bril H. and Puziewicz J., 2007. Effect of mineralogy and pedoclimatic variations on Ni and Cr distribution in serpentine soils under temperate climate. Geoderma, 142: 165-177.
- Kloke A., 1993. Orientierungsdaten fur tolerierbare Gesamtgehalte

einiger Elemente in Kulturboden. In: D. Rosenkranz, G. Einsele and H. Harreß (Eds.), Bodenschutz. Schmidt Verlag, Berlin.

- Lombini A., Dinelli E., Ferrari C. and Simoni A., 1998. Plant-soil relationships in the serpentinite screes of Mt. Prinzera (Northern Apennines, Italy). J. Geochem Explor., 64: 19-33.
- Medici L., Bellanova J., Belviso C., Cavalcante F., Lettino A., Ragone P.P. and Fiore S., 2011. Trace metals speciation in sediments of the Basento River (Italy). Appl. Clay Sci., 53: 414-442.
- Micó C., Peris M., Sánchez J. and Recatalá L., 2006. Heavy metal content of agricultural soils in a Mediterranean semiarid area: the Segura River Valley (Alicante, Spain). Spanish J. Agric. Res., 4: 363-372.
- Minnini G. and Sartori M., 1987. Problems and perspectives of sludge utilization in agriculture. Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 18: 291-311.
- Miyahara M., Kitagawa R. and Uehara S., 2005. Chlorite in metabasites from the Mikabu and North Chichibu Belts, Southwest Japan. Clays Clay Miner., 53: 466-477.
- Pennisi M., Bianchini G., Kloppmann W. and Muti A., 2009. Chemical and isotopic (B, Sr) composition of alluvial sediments as archive of a past hydrothermal outflow. Chem. Geol., 266: 114-125.
- Salemi E., Tessari U., Colombani N. and Mastrocicco M., 2010. Improved gravitational grain size separation method. Appl. Clay Sci., 48: 612-614.
- Simeoni U. and Corbau C., 2009. A review of the Delta Po evolution (Italy) related to climatic changes and human impacts. Geomorphology, 107: 64-71.
- Smart G.A. and Sherlock J.C., 1987. Nickel in foods and the diet. Food Additives and Contaminants, 4: 61-71.
- Środoń J., 1999. Nature of mixed-layer clays and mechanisms of their formation and alteration. Ann. Rev. Earth Planet Sci., 27: 19-53.
- Stefani M. and Vincenzi S., 2005. The interplay of eustasy, climate and human activity in the late Quaternary depositional evolution and sedimentary architecture of the Po Delta system. Mar. Geol., 222-223: 19-48
- Ungaro F., Ragazzi F., Cappelin R. and Giandon P., 2008. Arsenic concentration in the soils of the Brenta Plain (Northern Italy): Mapping the probability of exceeding contamination thresholds. J. Geochem. Explor., 96: 117-131.
- Viganò L., Arillo A., Buffagni A., Camusso M., Ciannarella R., Crosa G., Falugi C., Galassi S., Guzzella L., Lopez A., Mingazzini M., Pagnotta R., Patrolecco L., Tartari G. and Valsecchi S., 2003. Quality assessment of bed sediments of the Po River (Italy). Water Res., 37: 501-518.
- Vignati D., Pardos M., Diserens J., Ugazio G., Thomas R. and Dominik J., 2003. Characterisation of bed sediments and suspension of the River Po (Italy) during normal and high flow conditions. Water Res., 37: 2847-2864.
- Zaccarini F. and Garuti G., 2008. Mineralogy and chemical composition of VMS deposits of northern Apennine ophiolites, Italy: evidence for the influence of country rock type on ore composition. Miner. Petrol., 94: 61-83.

Received, October 1, 2012 Accepted, January 2, 2013